WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Florencio Gonzalez-Cimental		Case Number:	15-01408MJ-001	
represented by	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(topic counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of defendant pending trial in this case.	the evidence the defendant is	en held. Defendant was present and wa a serious flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	FIND onderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	d States or lawfully admitted fo	r permanent residence	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	orisonment.	
The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the material fi ne of the hearing in this matter, except as no	ndings of the Pretrial Services ted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	CONC	LUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant v			
2.	No condition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the app	earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION		
in a corrections pending appeal order of a court	fendant is committed to the custody of the Astacility separate, to the extent practicable, for the defendant shall be afforded a reason of the United States or on request of an attriver the defendant to the United States Mars	from persons awaiting or serving able opportunity for private colorney for the Government, the shall for the purpose of an appearance.	ng sentences or being held in custody insultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is	to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District	ct Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to
nterview and investigate the potential third party custodian.	$\bigcap A = A = A$
DATE: _June 1, 2015_	Ole A. West
	ACITAL A DUTTOLOK

JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge